International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences



ABOUT IJMMS

The International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences is published monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

The International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences (IJMMS) provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of Medicine and Medical Sciences such as:

Clinical Medicine: Internal Medicine, Surgery, Clinical Cancer Research, Clinical Pharmacology, Dermatology, Gynaecology, Paediatrics, Neurology, Psychiatry, Otorhinolaryngology, Ophthalmology, Dentistry, Tropical Medicine, Biomedical Engineering, Clinical Cardiovascular Research, Clinical Endocrinology, Clinical Pathophysiology, Clinical Immunology and Immunopathology, Clinical Nutritional Research, Geriatrics and Sport Medicine

Basic Medical Sciences: Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, Cellular Biology, Cytology, Genetics, Embryology, Developmental Biology, Radiobiology, Experimental Microbiology, Biophysics, Structural Research, Neurophysiology and Brain Research, Cardiovascular Research, Endocrinology, Physiology, Medical Microbiology

Experimental Medicine: Experimental Cancer Research, Pathophysiology, Immunology, Immunopathology, Nutritional Research, Vitaminology and Ethiology

Preventive Medicine: Congenital Disorders, Mental Disorders, Psychosomatic Diseases, Addictive Diseases, Accidents, Cancer, Cardiovascular Diseases, Metabolic Disorders, Infectious Diseases, Diseases of Bones and Joints, Oral Preventive Medicine, Respiratory Diseases, Methods of Epidemiology and Other Preventive Medicine

Social Medicine: Group Medicine, Social Paediatrics, Medico-Social Problems of the Youth, Medico-Social Problems of the Elderly, Rehabilitation, Human Ecology, Environmental Toxicology, Dietetics, Occupational Medicine, Pharmacology, Ergonomy, Health Education, Public Health and Health Services and Medical Statistics The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published approximately one month after acceptance. All articles published in IJMMS are peer-reviewed.

Submission of Manuscript

Submit manuscripts as e-mail attachment to the Editorial Office at: ijmms@acadjournals.org. A manuscript number will be mailed to the corresponding author.

The International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences will only accept manuscripts submitted as e-mail attachments.

Please read the **Instructions for Authors** before submitting your manuscript. The manuscript files should be given the last name of the first author.

Editors

Dr. J. Ibekwe

Acting Editor-in-chief, International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences Academic Journals E-mail: ijmms.journals@gmail.com http://www.academicjournals.org/ijmms

Nargis Albert Labib

Editor, Medicine and Surgery Training Consultant for CDC Surveillance Unit Ministry of Health, Cairo, Egypt

Anil Aggrawal

Editor, Forensic Medicine Department of Forensic Medicine, Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi-110002, India

Afrozul Haq

Editor, Laboratory Medicine
Department of Laboratory Medicine
Sheikh Khalifa Medical City
P.O. Box 51900, ABU DHABI
United Arab Emirates

Basavaraj K. Nanjwade

Editor, Pharmaceutics
Department of Pharmaceutics
KLE University
Belgaum –590010, India.

Chang-Gu Hyun

Editor, Pharmaceutics Research Institute (JBRI) & JeJu Hi-Tech Industry Development Institute (HiDI), Korea

Osmond Ifeanyi Onyeka

Editor, Alternative Medicine IUCM/Global Foundation for Integrative Medicine, U.S.A.

Vahideh Moin-Vaziri

Editor, Parasitology
Department of Parasitology and Mycology,
School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti
University of Medical Sciences and health services,
Tehran, Iran

Donovan Anthony McGrowder

Editor, Chemical Pathology University Hospital of The West Indies, Kingston, Jamaica

Panagiotis Christopoulos

Editor, Obstetrics and Gynaecology 1 Hariton Street, Kifssia 14564, Athens, Greece

Shuiyuan Xiao

Editor, Psychiatry Professor of social medicine and psychiatry 29 mailbox Xiangya Medical School 110 Xiangya Road, Changsha, Hunan 410078, China

Ajai Kumar Srivasta

Editor, Basic Medical Sciences D.D.U. Gorakhpur University, India

Tonukari N. J.

Editor, Basic Medical Sciences Department of Biochemistry Delta State University, Abraka, Delta State, Nigeria

Oluwafemi O. Oguntibeju

Editor, Basic Medical Sciences
Department of Biomedical Sciences,
Faculty of Health & Wellness Sciences,
Cape Peninsula University of Technology,
Bellville 7535,
South Africa

Maysaa El Sayed Zaki

Editor, Clinical Pathology
Faculty of Medicine
Department of Clinical Pathology
Mansoura University
Mansoura,
Egypt

Editorial Board

Chandrashekhar T. Sreeramareddy

Department of Community Medicine, P O Box No 155, Deep Heights Manipal College of Medical Sciences, Pokhara, Nepal

Sisira Hemananda Siribaddana

259, Temple Road, Thalapathpitiya, Nugegoda, 10250 Sri Lanka

Dr. santi M. Mandal

Internal Medicine UTMB, Galveston, TX, USA

Konstantinos Tziomalos

Department of Clinical Biochemistry (Vascular Prevention Clinic), Royal Free Hospital Campus, University College Medical School, University College London, London, United Kingdom

Cyril Chukwudi Dim

Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital (UNTH) P.M.B. 01129, Enugu. 400001, Nigeria

Mojtaba Salouti

School of Medical and Basic Sciences, Islamic Azad University- Zanjan, Iran

Imtiaz Ahmed Wani

Srinagar Kashmir, 190009, India

Professor Viroj Wiwanitkit

Wiwanitkit House, Bangkhae, Bangkok Thailand 10160

Dr. Srinivas Koduru

Dept of Clinical Sciences Collage of Health Sciences University of Kentucky Lexington USA

Weiping Zhang

Department of Oral Biology Indiana University School of Dentistry 1121 West Michigan Street, DS 271 Indianapolis, IN 46202 USA

Lisheng XU

Ho Sin Hang Engineering Building Department of Electronic Engineering The Chinese University of Hong Kong Shatin, N.T. Hong Kong, China

Dr. Mustafa Sahin

Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism Baskent University, Ankara, Turkey

Instructions for Author

Electronic submission of manuscripts is strongly encouraged, provided that the text, tables, and figures are included in a single Microsoft Word file (preferably in Arial font).

The **cover letter** should include the corresponding author's full address and telephone/fax numbers and should be in an e-mail message sent to the Editor, with the file, whose name should begin with the first author's surname, as an attachment.

Article Types

Three types of manuscripts may be submitted:

Regular articles: These should describe new and carefully confirmed findings, and experimental procedures should be given in sufficient detail for others to verify the work. The length of a full paper should be the minimum required to describe and interpret the work clearly.

Short Communications: A Short Communication is suitable for recording the results of complete small investigations or giving details of new models or hypotheses, innovative methods, techniques or apparatus. The style of main sections need not conform to that of full-length papers. Short communications are 2 to 4 printed pages (about 6 to 12 manuscript pages) in length.

Reviews: Submissions of reviews and perspectives covering topics of current interest are welcome and encouraged. Reviews should be concise and no longer than 4-6 printed pages (about 12 to 18 manuscript pages). Reviews are also peer-reviewed.

Review Process

All manuscripts are reviewed by an editor and members of the Editorial Board or qualified outside reviewers. Authors cannot nominate reviewers. Only reviewers randomly selected from our database with specialization in the subject area will be contacted to evaluate the manuscripts. The process will be blind review.

Decisions will be made as rapidly as possible, and the journal strives to return reviewers' comments to authors as fast as possible. The editorial board will re-review manuscripts that are accepted pending revision. It is the goal of the IJMMS to publish manuscripts within weeks after submission.

Regular articles

All portions of the manuscript must be typed **double-spaced** and all pages numbered starting from the title page.

The **Title** should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors' full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

The **Abstract** should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length.. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

Following the abstract, about 3 to 10 **key words** that will provide indexing references should be listed.

A list of non-standard **Abbreviations** should be added. In general, non-standard abbreviations should be used only when the full term is very long and used often. Each abbreviation should be spelled out and introduced in parentheses the first time it is used in the text. Only recommended SI units should be used. Authors should use the solidus presentation (mg/ml). Standard abbreviations (such as ATP and DNA) need not be defined.

The **Introduction** should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

Materials and methods should be complete enough to allow experiments to be reproduced. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail.

Results should be presented with clarity and precision. The results should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the authors' experiments. Previously published findings should be written in the present tense. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature. Discussion, speculation and detailed interpretation of data should not be included in the Results but should be put into the Discussion section.

The **Discussion** should interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past studies on this topic. State the conclusions in a few sentences at the end of the paper. The Results and Discussion sections can include subheadings, and when appropriate, both sections can be combined.

The **Acknowledgments** of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

Tables should be kept to a minimum and be designed to be as simple as possible. Tables are to be typed double-spaced throughout, including headings and footnotes. Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text. The details of the methods used in the experiments should preferably be described in the legend instead of

in the text. The same data should not be presented in both table and graph form or repeated in the text.

Figure legends should be typed in numerical order on a separate sheet. Graphics should be prepared using applications capable of generating high resolution GIF, TIFF, JPEG or Powerpoint before pasting in the Microsoft Word manuscript file. Tables should be prepared in Microsoft Word. Use Arabic numerals to designate figures and upper case letters for their parts (Figure 1). Begin each legend with a title and include sufficient description so that the figure is understandable without reading the text of the manuscript. Information given in legends should not be repeated in the text.

References: In the text, a reference identified by means of an author's name should be followed by the date of the reference in parentheses. When there are more than two authors, only the first author's name should be mentioned, followed by 'et al'. In the event that an author cited has had two or more works published during the same year, the reference, both in the text and in the reference list, should be identified by a lower case letter like 'a' and 'b' after the date to distinguish the works.

Examples:

Nishimura (2000), Agindotan et al. (2003), (Kelebeni, 1983), (Usman and Smith, 2001), (Chege, 1998; Stein, 1987a,b; Tijani, 1993,1995), (Kumasi et al., 2001)
References should be listed at the end of the paper in alphabetical order. Articles in preparation or articles submitted for publication, unpublished observations, personal communications, etc. should not be included in the reference list but should only be mentioned in the article text (e.g., A. Kingori, University of Nairobi, Kenya, personal communication). Journal names are abbreviated according to Chemical Abstracts. Authors are fully responsible for the accuracy of the references.

Examples:

Giesielski SD, Seed TR, Ortiz JC, Melts J (2001). Intestinal parasites among North Carolina migrant farm workers. Am. J. Public Health. 82: 1258-1262

Stoy N, Mackay GM, Forrest CM, Christofides J, Egerton M, Stone TW, Darlington LG (2005). Tryptophan metabolism and oxidative stress in patients with Huntington's disease. N. J. Neurochem. 93: 611–623.

Mussel RL, De Sa Silva E, Costa AM, Mandarim-De-Lacerda CA (2003). Mast cells in tissue response to dentistry materials: an adhesive resin, a calcium hydroxide and a glass ionomer cement. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 7:171-178.

Booth M, Bundy DA, Albonico P, Chwaya M, Alawi K (1998). Associations among multiple geohelminth infections in school children from Pemba Island. Parasitol. 116: 85-93.0.

Fransiscus RG, Long JC, (1991). Variation in human nasal height and breath, Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 85(4):419-427.

Stanislawski L, Lefeuvre M, Bourd K, Soheili-Majd E, Goldberg M, Perianin A (2003). TEGDMA-induced toxicity in human fibroblasts is associated with early and drastic glutathione depletion with subsequent production of oxygen reactive species. J. Biomed. Res. 66:476-82.

Case Studies

Case Studies include original case reports that will deepen the understanding of general medical knowledge

The **Title** should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors' full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

The **Abstract** should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

Following the abstract, about 3 to 10 **key words** that will provide indexing references should be listed.

A list of non-standard **Abbreviations** should be added. In general, non-standard abbreviations should be used only when the full term is very long and used often. Each abbreviation should be spelled out and introduced in parentheses the first time it is used in the text. Only recommended SI units should be used. Authors should use the solidus presentation (mg/ml).

The **Introduction** should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

The presentation of the case study should include the important information regarding the case. This must include the medical history, demographics, symptoms, tests etc. Kindly note that all information that will lead to the identification of the particular patient(s) must be excluded

The conclusion should highlight the contribution of the study and its relevance in general medical knowledge

The **Acknowledgments** of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

References: Same as in regular articles

Short Communications

Short Communications are limited to a maximum of two figures and one table. They should present a complete study that is more limited in scope than is found in full-length papers. The items of manuscript preparation listed above apply to Short Communications with the following differences: (1) Abstracts are limited to 100 words; (2) instead of a separate Materials and Methods section, experimental procedures may be incorporated into Figure Legends and Table footnotes; (3) Results and Discussion should be combined into a single section.

Proofs and Reprints: Electronic proofs will be sent (e-mail attachment) to the corresponding author as a PDF file. Page proofs are considered to be the final version of the manuscript. With the exception of typographical or minor clerical errors, no changes will be made in the manuscript at the proof stage. Because IJMMS will be published freely online to attract a wide audience), authors will have free electronic access to the full text (in both HTML and PDF) of the article. Authors can freely download the PDF file from which they can print unlimited copies of their articles.

Copyright: Submission of a manuscript implies: that the work described has not been published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, or thesis) that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; that if and when the

Manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree to automatic transfer of the copyright to the publisher.

International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences

Table of Contents: Volume 4 Number 6 August 2012

ARTICLES Research Articles Prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection among blood donors with antibodies to hepatitis B core antigen 128 V. Lavanya, T. Viswanathan, S. Arul Sheeba Malar, A. Malarvizhi and K. Moorthy Physicians' attitude about euthanasia and assisted suicide 138 Karami Khodabakhsh, Cheraghi Maria and Karami Nasibeh

DOI: 10.5897/IJMMS12.011

ISSN 2006-9723 ©2012 Academic Journals

Full Length Research paper

Prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection among blood donors with antibodies to hepatitis B core antigen

V. Lavanya¹, T. Viswanathan², S. Arul Sheeba Malar¹, A. Malarvizhi¹ and K. Moorthy¹*

¹Department of Microbiology, Vivekanandha College of Arts and Sciences for Women, Elayampalayam, Tiruchengode, India.

²Department of Microbiology, Government Arts College (Men), Krishnagiri, India.

Accepted 14 May, 2012

Transfusion associated hepatitis B virus (TAHBV) infection continues to be a major problem despite mandatory screening for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg). This is because HBsAg is not detected during the window period of the infection. The present study was designed to assess the prevalence and socio-demographic distribution of hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection in healthy blood donors of Erode District, Tamil Nadu. Between November 2010 and February 2011, 200 blood donors were screened and after obtaining written consent and answering a predetermined questionnaire, the samples were screened for the presence of HBsAg, anti-HBc, and anti-HBs using standard enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technique. The prevalence of HBsAg, anti-HBc total (IgG and IgM), anti-HBc IgM and anti-HBs were investigated and was found to be 3.5, 10.9, 5.7, and 3%, respectively. The prevalence of HBV markers were found to be instigated with factors like alcoholism, smoking, tattooing, ear piercing, visiting barber's shop, and family history of jaundice, and were statistically significant. The findings of the current study recommends that all blood units should be tested for anti-HBc IgM to understand the infectivity status of the blood donors in the window period and to discard blood if positive.

Key words: Hepatitis B virus, window period, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), anti-HBc, anti-HBs.

INTRODUCTION

Blood transfusion service (BTS) is an integral and indispensable part of the healthcare system. The priority objective of BTS is to ensure safety, adequacy, accessibility, and efficiency of blood supply at all levels (Islam, 2009). Transfusion of blood and blood components, as a specialized modality of patient management saves millions of lives worldwide each year and reduces morbidity. It is well known that blood transfusion is associated with a large number of complications, some are only trivial and others are potentially life threatening, demanding for meticulous pretransfusion testing and screening. The use of unscreened blood transfusion keeps the patient at risk of acquiring many transfusion transmitted infections (TTI) like

hepatitis viruses (HBV, HCV), human immuno-deficiency viruses (HIV), syphilis, malaria, etc. Transfusion departments have always been a major portal to screen, monitor, and control infections transmitted by blood transfusion. Blood transfusion departments do not only screen TTI, but also give clue about the prevalence of these infections in healthy populations (Khan et al., 2007).

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a serious global health problem affecting 2 billion people world-wide and 350 million people suffer from chronic HBV infection (Dhawan et al., 2008). Countries are classified on the basis of endemicity of HBV infection into high (≥8%), intermediate (2 to 7%) or low (≤2%) incidence countries. The prevalence of chronic HBV infection in India ranges from 2 to 10%. India therefore comes under the intermediate to high endemicity category (Karandeep et al., 2009). HBV is highly infectious and can be transmitted

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: moormicro@gmail.com.



Figure 1. The Erode District map.

transmitted covertly by percutaneous routes and overtly by blood transfusion. HBV infection is the leading cause of chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (Surendra et al., 2008).

Transfusion associated hepatitis B viral infection (TAHBV) continues to be a major problem in India even after adoption of mandatory screening of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The high incidence of TAHBV is reported in patients receiving multiple blood transfusions. It has been demonstrated that some HBsAg negative donors who are anti-HBc positive (antibody to hepatitis core antigen) continue to replicate hepatitis B virus (Kumar et al., 2007). They may harbour and maintain HBV-DNA sequences in their liver and blood, thus, representing potential sources of HBV transmission (Kumar et al., 2007). Thus, the absence of HBsAg in blood of apparently healthy individuals may not be sufficient to ensure lack of circulating HBV. Blood containing anti-HBc with or without detectable presence of HBsAg might be infectious; therefore, routine blood donor screening for anti-HBc has been implemented in some countries resulting in a decrease in the risk of post transfusion HBV infection. But, in India anti-HBc detection is not mandatory in donor selection procedure.

Most of the studies done to estimate anti-HBc among blood donors have used kits for total anti-HBc (both IgG and IgM) (Findik et al., 2007; Hollinger, 2008; Koten et al., 2011). But, the anti-HBc IgM is a marker of recent infection and it is considered to be a more specific marker for HBV infection during 'window period'. In most western countries, anti-HBc positive donors are excluded from

blood donation. However, due to limited resources and the potential exclusion of too many blood donors, this anti-HBc screening is seldom practiced in countries like India. Factors such as blood donations during the window period, emergence of newer transmissible pathogens, and prevalence of asymptomatic carriers pose a serious challenge to blood safety. Hence, constant monitoring and retrospective analysis of the incidence of TTIs, notably HBV, hepatitis C virus (HCV), human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), and syphilis among voluntary blood donors becomes essential to evaluate the prevalence of TTIs in the population. The present study was conducted to understand the seroprevalence of various HBV markers among blood donors of Erode district, Tamil Nadu. This study was also aimed to evaluate whether anti-HBc detection could be adopted in India as a routine screening assay for HBV in addition to HBsAg to improve the safety of blood transfusion.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area and population

This is a descriptive cross-sectional study and was conducted by obtaining the blood samples from a private blood bank of the Erode District, Tamil Nadu from November 2010 to February 2011. Erode District lies on the extreme north of Tamil Nadu. It is situated between 10 36" and 11 58" north latitude and between 76 49" and 77 58" east longitude (Figure 1). It has about 25, 81,500 population strength as per 2001 census and it approximately covers 5,714 km² area. The district has a large industrial and agricultural base and many people from other places reside here for education, job and business.

Blood samples

All the blood donors, donating blood in the blood bank were considered as the study population. About 200 samples were collected for the study during the four months period. The participant donors were from both urban and rural areas of the district.

Study procedure

All the individuals coming for blood donations were examined for blood pressure, pulse, hemoglobin content, etc., and other general health check up were done. Apparently, healthy persons of age 18 to 60 years with body weight above 45 kg would qualify for donations.

Inclusion criteria

All the donors who satisfied the qualifying criteria for the donation were included in the study. The study included both the voluntary blood donors (altruistic donations) and the replacement blood donors (blood donated to replace blood utilized, and often includes friends or relatives of patients).

Exclusion criteria

Persons belonging to high risk groups such as patients from thalassemia clinics, sexually transmitted diseases clinics, professional blood donors, drug abusers, dialysis patients, sex workers, pregnant women, etc. were excluded from the study.

Donor study

The donors visiting the blood bank were explained about the purpose and objective of the study. Those individuals who volunteered to participate in the study were accepted alone after obtaining a written informed consent. A detailed pre-donation questionnaire was used to collect their socio-demographic characteristics including age, gender, occupation, marital status, pre-donation status, etc. They were also asked about family history of jaundice, previous surgical procedures, history of past or current use of intra venous drugs, tattooing, visiting community barber's shop in males, history of injections received in past one year, dental services under taken, etc.

Some questions about high risk behaviours, such as premarital and extra martial sexual behaviour were also investigated. The test results were kept confidential and the blood bank official referred the infected individuals to the nearest public health care facilities.

Ethical approval

The present study was approved by the ethical committee of Swamy Vivekananda College of Pharmacy, Tiruchengode, Namakkal District (IEC/July/10/11).

Study method

Blood collection

Five milliliters of blood was collected by standard aseptic technique with the help of 5 ml disposable syringe, and was transferred to a sterile test tube. The blood was allowed to clot and the serum was

separated by centrifugation at 3000 rpm. The serum samples were transferred to vials and were preserved at -20°C until use.

Processing of samples

All the serum samples were screened for the HBsAg using ELISA (Omega diagnostics, Glaxo III) and for anti-HBc total, anti-HBc IgM, and anti-HBs (DiaSorin S.p.A. Kits, 13040 Saluggia (Vercelli)-Italy). Those samples that are non-reactive for HBsAg were chosen for further studies. The HBsAg negative samples were tested for the presence of antibodies to Hepatitis B core antigen (anti-HBc total and anti-HBc IgM). All the anti-HBc positive samples were retested with the same assay for confirmation of anti-HBc positivity. The presence of antibodies to Hepatitis B surface antigen (anti-HBs) was also investigated in all the anti-HBc positive serum samples.

Statistical analysis

The prevalence of HBsAg and anti-HBc was determined from the proposition of seropositive individuals in the total donor population studied and was expressed as a percentage. Descriptive statistics of socio-demographic variable and other characteristics of sampled population were computed. Percentage with 95% confidential interval (CI) was used to describe the prevalence. Odd ratio (OR) and 95% CI was calculated for each association. A *p* value less than 0.05 was calculated to be statistically significant. The statistical difference was also evaluated by applying the Chi-square test. All the statistical analysis was done using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software package version 10 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, Illnois, USAT).

RESULTS

A total of 200 blood donors were screened during the study, and among them, a vast majority (184: 92%) were males, with a male to female ratio of 11.5:1. It was observed that there were 172 voluntary donors (males, 158 and Female, 14). Majority of the donors belong to the age group of 26 to 30 years. Among the blood groups studied, 'O' group was found to be the maximum (75) followed by 'B' group (62). There were 137 first time donors, and 63 of them were repeated donors. 75 of them were students and 62 were labourers.

Prevalence of HBsAg

Out of the 200 samples studied, 7 of them were found to be positive for HBsAg. The prevalence rate of HBsAg was (7/200) 3.5%. All the positive cases were male and no female donors were found to be positive (Table 1). Age wise prevalence was found to be more in 31 to 35 years group with 8.8% (Table 2). Replacement donors showed more seropositivity 14.3% (4/28) than the voluntary donors (Table 3). Among the blood group, wise prevalence maximum HBsAg positive cases were seen in 'B' blood group 4.8% (3/62). Majority HBsAg positive subjects were labourers (4.8%) and students (4.0%).

The prevalence of HBsAg based on various signs and symptoms include: loss of appetite (7.3%), abdominal

Result		Prevalence of HBV markers											
	HBsAg		Anti-H	Bc total	Anti-HB	c IgM only	Anti-HBc and Anti-HBs						
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%					
Positive	7	3.5	21	10.5	11	5.5	6	3.0					
Negative	193	96.5	179	89.5	189	94.5	194	97.0					
Total	200	100.0	200	100.0	200	100.0	200	100.0					

Table 2. Prevalence of HBV markers over the age distribution.

C/N	Λαο -	HBsAg		Anti-HBc total		Anti-HBo	g IgM only	Anti-HBc ar	Total	
S/N	Age -	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	Total
1	19 - 25	1	1.7	6	10.3	4	6.9	1	1.7	58
2	26 - 30	2	2.4	9	11.0	4	4.9	4	4.9	82
3	31 - 35	3	8.8	3	8.8	1	2.9	1	2.9	34
4	36 - 40	1	6.3	2	12.5	2	12.5	-	-	16
5	41 - 45	-	-	1	10.0	-	-	-	-	10
Total	-	7	-	21	-	11	-	6	-	200

Table 3. Prevalence of HBV markers among donor population.

Donor category	Number	HBsAg		Anti-H	Bc total	Anti-HBc	lgM only	Anti-HBc and Anti-HBs		
	screened	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Voluntary donors	172	3	1.7	18	10.5	10	5.8	6	3.5	
Replacement donors	28	4	14.3	3	10.7	1	3.6	0	-	
Total	200	7	3.5	21	10.5	11	5.5	6	3.0	

discomfort (7%), and joints pain (10.8%). The possible risk factor associated with the HBsAg seropositivity showed that ear piercing (2.6%), tattooing (7.1%), previous surgical procedures (5.3%), family history of jaundice (3.6%), visiting barber's shop (10.4%), and visiting abroad (11.1%) play a major role (Table 4).

Prevalence of anti-HBc IgM

The overall prevalence of IgM antibodies to hepatitis B core antigen (anti-HBc IgM) was studied in all the 193 HBsAg negative blood donors. Out of 193 donors screened, 11 (5.7%) showed positive for anti-HBc IgM indicating the presence of early acute hepatitis B infection (Table 1). Among the 16 donors belonging to the age group of 36 to 40 years, 2 were found to be positive with a maximum of 12.5 percentage (Table 2). Among the 11 positive subjects, 10 of them were males and only 1 was found to be female. With the analysis of positivity among different blood groups 8.3% of them with 'O' blood group and 5.8% of the voluntary donors were positive for anti-HBc IgM (Table 3).

Alcohol consumers (5.9%), donors with smoking habits (7.1%), and donors having the habit of chewing tobacco

or using similar product (7.7%) had anti-HBc in their serum. Also, loss of appetite, abdominal discomfort, joint pain and itching all over the body were found to be important symptoms and were 12.2, 9.3, 13.5, and 11.1% respectively.

Among the high risk behaviours analyzed, blood transfusion (40%), previous surgery (15.8%), family history of jaundice (19.4%), ear piercing (18.4%), tattooing (14.3%), visiting barber's shop (10.4%), etc., played an important role (Table 5).

Prevalence of anti-HBc total and anti-HBs

All the HBsAg negative samples were also tested for the presence of anti-HBc total (both IgG and IgM) and for the antibodies to hepatitis B surface antigen (anti-HBs). Anti-HBc total was found in 21/193 samples (10.9%) which indicated the persistence of carrier state or the recent past infection with convalescence (Table 1). Donors in the age group of 26 to 30 years showed maximum seropositivity (9/21; 11.0%) (Table 2). Voluntary donors (10.5%) and replacement donors (10.7%) had both IgG and IgM antibodies in their blood serum (Table 3).

 Table 4. Socio-demographic characteristics and risk factors associated with HBsAg seropositivity in blood donors.

		Prevalenc	e of HBs/	Ag	т.	otal	Ob.:		0.1.1.	95% CI	
Variable	Pos No.	sitive %	Neç No.	gative %	No.	%	Chi square test	P value	Odds ratio	Lower limit	Upper limit
Sex	140.	70	110.	70	140.	70					
Male	7	3.80	177	96.20	184	100.00					
Female	0	-	16	100.00	16	100.00	0.631	0.427	-	-	-
i emale	U	_	10	100.00	10	100.00					
Blood group											
0	3	4.00	72	96.00	75	100.00					
Α	0	-	35	100.00	35	100.00	1.640	0.65	_	_	_
В	3	4.80	59	95.20	62	100.00	1.040	0.00			
AB	1	3.60	27	96.40	28	100.00					
STD awareness	s										
Yes	7	5.40	122	94.60	129	100.00					
No	0	-	71	100.00	71	100.00	3.992	0.046**	-	-	-
110	Ū		, ,	100.00	, ,	100.00					
Alcoholism											
Yes	6	5.00	113	95.00	119	100.00	2.069	0.15	4.25	0.50	35.97
No	1	1.20	80	98.80	81	100.00	2.000	0.10	4.20	0.50	00.01
Smoking habit											
Yes	7	6.10	108	93.90	115	100.00					
No	0	-	85	100.00	85	100.00	5.362	0.021**	-	-	-
140	Ū		00	100.00	00	100.00					
Chewing tobac											
Yes	2	2.60	76	97.40	78	100.00	0.332	0.565	0.62	0.12	3.26
No	5	4.10	117	95.90	122	100.00	0.002	0.000	0.02	0.12	0.20
Blood transfus	ions										
Yes	0	_	10	100.00	10	100.00					
No	7	3.70	183	96.30	190	100.00	0.382	0.537	0.00	-	-
Previous surger Yes	ery 1	5.30	18	94.70	19	100.00					
							0.193	0.66	1.62	0.18	14.22
No	6	3.30	175	96.70	181	100.00					
Family history	of jaund	lice									
Yes	1	3.20	30	96.80	31	100.00	0.008	0.020	0.01	0.11	7 70
No	6	3.60	163	96.40	169	100.00	0.006	0.928	0.91	0.11	7.79
Ear piercing											
Yes	1	2.60	37	97.40	38	100.00					
No	6		37 156		36 162		0.105	0.746	0.70	0.08	6.02
INU	Ö	3.70	130	96.30	102	100.00					
Tattooing											
Yes	2	7.10	26	92.90	28	100.00	1 270	0.250	2 57	0.47	12.04
No	5	2.90	167	97.10	172	100.00	1.279	0.258	2.57	0.47	13.94
Visiting barber	'e ehan										
Yes	5 SHOP	10.40	43	89.60	48	100.00					
No	2	1.30	150	98.70	152	100.00	8.946	0.003***	8.72	1.63	46.54

Table 4. Contd.

Visiting abr	oad										
Yes	2	11.10	16	88.90	3.5	3.393	0.065	4.42	0.79	24.66	
No	5	2.70	177	97.30		100.00	3.393	0.005	4.43	0.79	24.66
Extra marita	al sexual beh	aviour									
Yes	1	12.50	7	87.50	8	100.00	1 000	0.457	4.42	0.47	44.04
No	6	3.10	186	96.90	192	100.00	1.999	0.157	4.43	0.47	41.91

^{**}Significant at 5% level, ***Significant at 1% level.

Six of 193 HBsAg negative subjects were found to be anti-HBs positive (3.0%) (Table 1). All the 6 anti-HBs positive donors were also found to be positive for anti-HBc. The overall prevalence of anti-HBs and anti-HBc combined seropositivity was (6/21) 28.5%. Anti-HBs prevalence was found maximum among the age group of 26 to 30 years (4.9%).

Statistical analysis of prevalence

The statistical analysis of the prevalence of HBsAg and anti-HBc showed that there were no significant correlation between the prevalence rate and the sociodemographic characteristics like age, gender, blood group, and occupation. The prevalence of HBsAg was statistically significant with the type of the donors (P = 0.001), sexually transmitted diseases (STD) awareness (P = 0.04), smoking (P = 0.02), joint pain (P = 0.007), and frequent visits to the barber's shop (P = 0.003) (Table 4).

The statistical significance in the case of anti-HBc seropositivity was observed in symptoms like loss of appetite (P=0.03) and joint pain (P=0.01). Also, risk factors such as blood transfusion, previous surgery, family history of jaundice, ear piercing, and tattooing were all found to be positively correlating the anti-HBc prevalence and was statistically significant (P<0.05) (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The discovery of the HBsAg was a major breakthrough in decreasing the incidence of post transfusion hepatitis. Following infection by the hepatitis B virus (HBV), the first serological marker to appear in the blood is the HBVDNA, followed by HBsAg, the DNA polymerase and the hepatitis B 'e' antigen (HBeAg). Thereafter, the antibodies to the hepatitis B core antigen (anti-HBc), hepatitis B 'e' antigen and the HBsAg can be detected. Screening of donated blood by ELISA for HBsAg is the common method for detecting hepatitis B infection. Screening of blood for the detection of this viral marker, however, does not rule out the risk of transmission of

hepatitis B totally, because during the host serological response to infection, there is a phase during which the HBsAg cannot be detected in the blood, although, hepatitis B infection is present. This phase is called the 'window period'. It represents a carrier state of the disease. Therefore, a definite hazard of transmission of hepatitis B to recipients of such units of donated blood exists. During this 'window period', detection of the anti-HBc serves as a useful serological marker for hepatitis B infection. The IgM class of the anti-HBc is the first to appear, and indicates a recent infection. The IgG variety of anti-HBc appears later during the infection and points to a past HBV infection. Individuals with IgG variety of anti-HBc may not be infectious as they may have sufficiently high titres of antibodies to HBsAg (anti-HBs), which are protective in nature and the affected individuals may actually be disease free. With the fairly high incidence of HBsAg in India, there is a definite risk of inadvertently transfusing HBV infected blood. It is therefore strongly felt that a marker must be utilized for the screening of blood in the Indian population to detect the presence of hepatitis B during the window period (Hoofnagle et al., 1978; Doglas et al., 1993). The safety of blood products is one of the major problems concerned with the transfusion medicine. At present, HBsAg detection is the only diagnostic screening test for HBV infection identification in the blood transfusion centers of India. The prevalence of anti-HBc in the sera of healthy blood donors negative for HBsAg was not much considered. Since anti-HBc detection is not mandatory in India, the present study was aimed to evaluate if anti-HBc could be adopted as an additional screening assay for blood donation.

To address this issue, a total of 200 healthy blood donors were screened for a period of 4 months. In the present study, the frequency of HBsAg, anti-HBc, and anti-HBs seropositivity in the blood donors of Erode district of Tamil Nadu was investigated.

Among the 200 screened samples, 7 of them (3.5%) were found positive for HBsAg. Similar type of results was found in an Indian study which showed that there was HBsAg prevalence of 3.43% during the year 2008 (Nilima et al., 2010). In contrast, seropositivity in another study was observed to be as low as 1.55% in 1996 and

Table 5. Socio-demographic characteristics and risk factors associated with anti -HBc seropositivity in blood donors.

_	Р	revalence c	of Anti-HB	BC .	- Total		Chi	P value	Odds ratio	95% CI	
Variable _	Pos	itive	Neg	gative			square			Lower	Upper
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	test			limit	limit
Sex											
Male	10	5.40	174	94.60	184	100.00	0.019	0.891	0.86	0.10	7.20
Female	1	6.30	15	93.80	16	100.00	0.0.0	0.00	0.00	01.0	0
Blood group											
0	6	8.30	66	91.70	72	100.00					
A	1	1.80	56	98.20	57	100.00	2.000	0.004	F 00	0.50	40.50
В	2	4.30	44	95.70	46	100.00	3.069	0.381	5.09	0.59	43.56
AB	2	8.00	23	92.00	25	100.00					
STD awareness											
Yes	8	6.20	121	93.80	129	100.00					
No	3	4.20	68	95.80	71	100.00	0.344	0.557	1.50	0.38	5.84
Alcoholism											
Yes	7	5.90	112	94.10	119	100.00					
No	4	4.90	77	95.10	81	100.00	0.083	0.774	1.20	0.34	4.25
INO	4	4.90	77	95.10	01	100.00					
Smoking habit	_										
Yes	5	4.30	110	95.70	115	100.00	0.691	0.406	0.60	0.18	2.03
No	6	7.10	79	92.90	85	100.00					
Chewing tobacco											
Yes	6	7.70	72	92.30	78	100.00	1.182	0.277	1.95	0.57	6.62
No	5	4.10	117	95.90	122	100.00	1.102	0.211	1.33	0.57	0.02
Blood transfusions											
Yes	4	40.00	6	60.00	10	100.00	04.400	0.00**	47.40	0.00	70.05
No	7	3.70	183	96.30	190	100.00	24.106	*	17.43	3.99	76.05
Previous surgery											
Yes	3	15.80	16	84.20	19	100.00	4.0==	0.039*	4.0=		40.04
No	8	4.40	173	95.60	181	100.00	4.277	*	4.05	0.98	16.81
Family history of jaund	ice										
Yes	6	19.40	25	80.60	31	100.00		0.00**			
No	5	3.00	164	97.00	169	100.00	13.549	*	7.87	2.23	27.73
Ear piercing											
Yes	7	18.40	31	81.60	38	100.00		0 00**			
No	4	2.50	158	97.50	162	100.00	15.07	0.00** *	8.92	2.46	32.32
Tattooing											
Yes	4	14.30	24	85.70	28	100.00		U USB*			
No	7	4.10	165	95.90	172	100.00	4.835	0.028* *	3.93	1.07	14.43
Visiting harbor's shor											
Visiting barber's shop Yes	5	10.40	43	89.60	48	100.00	2.937	0.087	2.83	0.82	9.72
No	6	3.90	43 146		152		2.331	0.007	2.03	0.02	3.1 Z
INU	U	ა.ჟ0	140	96.10	132	100.00					

Table 5. Contd.

Visiting abroad											
Yes	1	5.60	17	94.40	18	100.00	0.00	0.991	1.01	0.12	8.39
No	10	5.50	172	94.50	182	100.00	0.00	0.991			
Extra marital sex	xual behaviour										
Yes	1	12.50	7	87.50	8	100.00	0.786	0.375	2.60	0.29	22.22
No	10	5.20	182	94.80	192	100.00	0.766	0.375	2.60	0.29	23.23

^{**}Significant at 5% level, ***Significant at 1% level.

0.99% in 2002 (Sharma et al., 2004). A community cluster survey on STD prevalence conducted in Tamil Nadu showed an HBsAg prevalence rate of about 5.7% (Kurien et al., 2005). Also, in another study conducted among the blood donors in tertiary hospital in Tabuk, North Western Saudi Arabia showed a prevalence of about 3.0% (El Beltagy et al., 2008) which was similar to our results.

Age wise prevalence percentage in the present study was found to be maximum in the age group of 31 to 35 years. These results correlated with other studies which also showed the maximum prevalence in the age groups of 26 to 36 years (Tessema et al., 2010; Baba et al., 2000; Ejele et al., 2005). HBV infection was found to be 1.7% in the voluntary donors studied. In contrast, a study conducted in voluntary blood donors in Yola, Nigeria had showed a higher prevalence of 2.4% and another study indicated that the prevalence of 2.2% was seen in the Pakistani donors (Olokoba et al., 2009; Bhatti et al., 2007). Almost similar figures were obtained in a study in Niger Delta region of Nigeria, which was only 1.1% (Ejele et al., 2005). The difference between males and females could not be evaluated because all subjects were male.

The prevalence of HBsAg among the replacement donors was found to be 2.45% in the study conducted in the blood bank, Department of Pathology, MIMS Medical College, Nellimarla, Vizianagaram (Gulia et al., 2011). But, the current study showed a higher prevalence rate of 14.3% seropositivity. The prevalence of HBsAg was significantly high in the first time donors when compared to that of the repeated donors. These results are in agreement with the previous study conducted among blood donors at Gondar University Teaching Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia between January 2003 and December 2007 (Tessema et al., 2010). The increased seroprevalence among the first time donors might be due to the fact that people who regularly donate blood were frequently subjected to screening many times.

The socio-demographic and risk factors associated with prevalence of HBsAg were also analyzed statistically. It was not found to be statistically associated with the age group (P = 0.3), gender (P = 0.4), and blood group (P = 0.65). Similar type of results was obtained in a study conducted in the Christian Medical College and Hospital,

Vellore, Tamil Nadu (Kurien et al., 2005). The analyzed risk factors showed that alcohol consumption (P = 0.15), chewing tobacco (P = 0.5), blood transfusion (P = 0.5), previous surgery (P = 0.6), family history of Jaundice (P = 0.9), tattooing (P = 0.2) and extra marital sexual behaviour (P = 0.1) did not show any statistical significance with the prevalence of HBsAg. Kurien et al. (2005) also showed similar type of insignificant correlations with various risk factors such as alcoholism, tattooing, blood transfusion, extra marital sex, etc.

The prevalence of hepatitis B infection was highly significant in those subjects who had the habit of visiting the community barber's shop frequently (P = 0.003). But, there were no such related investigations in other studies with reference to the Tamil Nadu state.

The overall prevalence of anti-HBc in the present study was found to be 10.5% for anti-HBc total (IgG and IgM) and 5.5% for anti-HBc IgM only. The present results showed a lower prevalence when compared with a study conducted at the blood bank of Armed Forces Medical College which had a high prevalence of 15.9% of anti-HBc total (Kumar et al., 2007). In another study on the prevalence of hepatitis markers in New Delhi showed a prevalence of 11.6% of anti-HBc total amongst the blood donors (Makroo et al., 1998). In India, the incidence of anti-HBc among the blood donor community ranges from 17 to 29% (Banerjee et al., 1992). The Red Cross blood bank in the city of Behrampur in Ganjam district, Orissa conducted a study to determine the prevalence of anti-HBc positivity in blood donations. They found that out of 729 HBsAg negative donors, 220 (30.1%) were found to be positive for anti-HBc (Panigrahi et al., 2010). With respect to all these studies conducted in various parts of India, the present study had reported a relatively low prevalence of anti-HBc. But, in contrast, the prevalence of the same in Europe and North America was found to be guite low. An anti-HBc prevalence was 0.07% in the UK and 1.5% in Germany has been reported. Another study in Japan has reported a prevalence of 1.1%. This low prevalence might be due to stringent donor selection criteria, high literary rates and the more availability of voluntary donors in these countries (Dhawan et al., 2008).

The current study showed an age wise prevalence of

12.5 and 11% for the age groups of 36 to 40 and 26 to 30 years, respectively. Similar results were obtained in a study among the blood donors in tertiary hospital in Tabuk, Saudi Arabia (El Beltagy et al., 2008). The prevalence rate of HBV markers is high in older age groups and this could be due to the greater number of years of potential exposures and the lack of awareness of HBV infections in earlier decades. Young blood donors should be encouraged to donate blood to help ensure a long-term increase in the blood supply without jeopardizing safety.

The present study noted that the prevalence of anti-HBc total was around 10.7% in the replacement donors and about 10.5% in the voluntary donors. A relatively less prevalence was seen in a study conducted by the Department of Transfusion Medicine, Chandigarh. The study revealed an anti-HBc prevalence of 6.9% in voluntary donors and 10.4% in replacement donors (Dhawan et al., 2008). Another study conducted in Ganjam, West Bengal blood donors in 2004 and 2005 showed anti-HBc seropositivity as high as 18.3% in voluntary blood donors. Also, a report from New Delhi revealed that 6.92% of voluntary donors and 12.53% of the replacement donors were found to be positive for anti-HBc (Bhattacharya et al., 2007; Chaudhuri et al., 2003).

With regards to the socio demographic characters and associated risk factors of the studied subjects, it was found that there was no statistical significance of anti-HBc positivity with the age, gender, blood group, and type of donors. Even though the positive values were obtained, the risk factors such as alcoholism, smoking and tobacco chewing did not have any significance (P > 0.05). A study conducted in Saudi Arabia in 2008 also had the same type of results and they also did not have any statistical significance with HBV prevalence (EI Beltagy et al., 2008).

Exposure to the high risky procedures or behaviours in the current study reported a significant association between the anti-HBc prevalence and blood transfusion, previous surgery, ear piercing, family history of Jaundice, tattooing, and visiting barber's shop. But, these results were in contrast with the study in Saudi Arabia. They showed significance only with the family history of HBV infection. All other risk factors showed no significant association (El Beltagy et al., 2008).

In the present study, the prevalence of anti-HBs among the anti-HBc positive donors was recorded as 3.0%. This was in high controversy with the study conducted in Iran. The Iranian study showed a higher prevalence rate of 37.5% for both anti-HBc and anti-HBs (Behzad-Behbahani et al., 2005). These individuals may have recovered from previous infection, but may have persistent low levels of HBV.

Our study raises serious concerns regarding the safety blood supply even after donor screening for HBsAg. In India, transfusion associated HBV is estimated to be approximately 50% or more in multiple transfused patients and 1.5% in post surgical recipients according to a study on post transfusion hepatitis B (Saraswat et al., 1996). Thus, the absence of HBsAg in the blood of healthy donors may not be sufficient to ensure lack of circulating HBV.

The transmission of hepatitis B following transfusion of HBsAg negative blood containing anti-HBc, was first described by Hoofnagle in 1978 (Kumar et al., 2007). The most widely used HBV marker, namely, HBsAg, is not usually detected during the window period of the infection. Therefore, transfusion of blood collected from a donor who is in the window period may lead to post transfusion hepatitis B infection in the recipients. Anti-HBc has been found to be an excellent indicator of hepatitis B infection during the window period, and hence, the detection of anti-HBc can contribute significantly in reducing the incidence of post transfusion hepatitis B infection.

Screening of the donor's blood for anti-HBc is practiced in the western world and this has significantly brought down the possibilities of TAHBV infection in these countries. The introduction of anti-HBc screening, at least in the first time donors might prevent the HBV positive subjects from being included in the donor pool. Since the exclusion of anti-HBc positive units from the donor pool is not practical in areas with intermediate HBsAg prevalence rates such as India where the anti-HBc positivity ranges from 4.2 to 18.3% in healthy donors. Discarding anti-HBc positive units would result in unacceptably high rates of donor rejection.

However, the usefulness of screening for anti-HBc as an additional screening test to improve the safety of blood supply in India deserves still more national wide study and the introduction of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based screenings like nucleic acid testing (NAT) should also be considered for the Indian blood donors. A national study, including a statistically significant number of blood donors from different blood donation centres across the country, should be carried out to determine the screening for anti-HBc in addition to HBsAg detection.

Conclusively, this study supports the implementation of anti-HBc screening in the donor selection criteria of India, to rule out and identify the HBV infections in blood donors' and emphasizes on the need for establishing safe and healthy blood transfusion in the nation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Dr. M. Karunanithi, Chairman and Secretary, in Vivekananda Educational Institutions and the authorities of Kongu Blood bank, Erode for their support and technical assistance.

REFERENCES

Baba MM, Hassan AW, Gashau W (2000). Prevalence of hepatitis B

- antigenaemia and human immunodeficiency virus in blood donors in Maidugiri, Nigeria. Niger. J. Med. 9:10-12.
- Banerjee K, Sarin SK, Naik SR (1992). Polymerase Chain Reaction based diagnostic assay for identification of Hepatitis B virus. Ind. J. Med. Res. 95:173-178.
- Behzad-Behbahani A, Mafi Nejad A, Tabei SZ, Lankarani KB, Rashidi M, Rasouli M, Pourabbas B, Torab A, Salah AR (2005). Indication of anti-HBc antibody screening and HBV DNA detection in diagnosing latent Hepatitis B virus infection. Iran. J. Med. Sci. 30(1):28-33.
- Bhattacharya P, Chandra PK, Datta S, Banerjee A, Chakraborty S, Rajendran K, Basu Sk, Bhattacharya SK, Chakravarty R (2007). Significant increase in HBV, HCV, HIV and Syphilis infections among blood donors in West Bengal, Eastern India 2004-2005: Exploratory screening reveals high frequency of occult HBV infection. World J. Gastroenterol. 13:3730-3733.
- Bhatti FA, Ullah Z, Salawat N, Ayub M, Ghani E (2007). Anti-Hepatitis B core antigen testing, viral markers and Occult hepatitis B virus infection in Pakistani blood donors: implications for transfusion practice. Transfusion 47(1):74-79.
- Chaudhuri V, Nanu A, Panda SK, Chand P (2003). Evaluation of serological screening of blood donors in India reveals a lack of correlation between anti-HBc titers and PCR amplified HBV DNA. Transfusion 43:1442-1448.
- Dhawan HK, Marwaha N, Sharma RR, Chawla Y, Thakral B, Saluja K, Sharma SK, Thakur MK, Jain A (2008). Anti-HBc screening in Indian blood donors: Still an unresolved issue. World J. Gastroenterol. 14(34):5327-5330.
- Douglas DD, Taswell HF, Rakela J, Rabe D (1993). Absence of hepatitis B DNA detected by polymerase chain reaction in blood donors who are hepatitis B surface antigen negative and antibody to hepatitis B core antigen positive from a United States population with a low prevalence of hepatitis B serologic markers. Transfusion 33:212-216.
- Ejele OA, Erhabor O, Nwauche CA (2005). Trends in the prevalence of some transfusion transmissible infections among blood donors in Port Harcourt, Niger. Haema. 8:273-277.
- Findik D, Arslan U, Baykan M (2007). Determination of hepatitis B virus DNA incidence, viral load, and mutations in blood donors with HBsAg and anti-HBs-negative serology and antibodies to hepatitis B core antigen. Eur. J. Med. 18:571-575.
- Gulia ŠP, Panda S, Sitaraman E, Reddy KP (2011). Seroprevalence of Hepatitis B Virus infection among blood donors in local population. Internet. J. Pathol. 12(1).
- Hollinger FB (2008). Hepatitis B virus infection and transfusion medicine: science and the occult. Transfusion: 48: 1001-1026.
- Islam MB (2009). Blood transfusion services in Bangladesh Asian J. Transf. Sci. 3:108-110.
- El Beltagy KE, Al Balawi IA, Maha A, Ziad AM (2008). Prevalence of hepatitis B virus markers among blood donors in a tertiary hospital in Tabuk, Northwestern Saudi Arabia. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 12:495-499. Karandeep Singh, Sudha Bhat, Shamee Shastry (2009). Trend in seroprevalence of Hepatitis B virus infection among blood donors of coastal Karnataka, India. J. Infect. Dev. Ctries. 3(5):376-379.

- Khan ZT, Asim S, Tariz Z, Ehsan IA, Malik RA, Ashfaq (2007). Prevalence of Transfusion transmitted infections in healthy blood donors in Rawalpindi District, Pakistan–a five year study. Int. J. Pathol. 5:21-25.
- Koten F, Oztop Y, Engin A (2011). Investigation of the HBV DNA in isolated hepatitis B core antibody positive blood donors. Sci. Res. Essays 6(13):2720-2723.
- Kumar CH, Lt Col Gupta PK, Jaiprakash M (2007). The role of anti-HBc IgM in screening of blood donors. MJAFI, 63:350-352.
- Kurien T, Thyagarajan SP, Jeyaseelan L, Peedicayil A, Rajendran P, Sivaram S, Hansdak SG, Renu G, Krishnamurthy P, Sudhakar K, Varghese JC (2005). Community prevalence of hepatitis B infection and modes of transmission in Tamil Nadu, India. Indian J. Med. Res. 670-675
- Makroo RN, Raina V, Kaushik V (1998). Prevalence of hepatitis markers (HBsAg, anti-HBc and anti-HCV) in Indian blood donors. Vox Sang 74:1142.
- Nilima S, Sawke GK, Chawla S (2010). Seroprevalence of common Transfusion Transmitted Infections among blood donors. People's J. Sci. Res. 3(1):5-7.
- Olokoba AB, Salawu FK, Danburam A, Desalu OO, Olokaba LB, Wahab KW, Badung LH, Tidi Sk, Midala OM, Aderibigbe S, Abdulrahman MB, Babalola OM, Abdulkkarim A (2009). Viral Hepatitides in Voluntary Blood donors in Yola, Niger. Eur. J. Sci. Res. 31(3):329-334.
- Panigrahi R, Biswas A, Datta S, Banerjee A, Chandra PK, Mahapatra PK, Patnaik B, Chakrabarti S, Chakravarty R (2010). Anti-hepatitis B core antigen testing with detection and characterization of occult hepatitis B virus by an in-house nucleic acid testing among blood donors in Behrampur, Ganjam, Orissa in Southeastern India: Implications for transfusion. Virol. J. 7:204-210.
- Saraswat S, Banerjee K, Chaundhury N (1996). Post Transfusion hepatitis type B following multiple transfusion of HBsAg negative blood. J. Hepatol. 25:639-643.
- Sharma RR, Cheema R, Vajpayee M, Rao U, Kumar S, Marwaha N, Agnihotri SK (2004). Prevalence of markers of Transfusion transmissible diseases in voluntary and replacement blood donors. The Nat. Med. J. India 171:19-21.
- Surendra K, Prakash G, Bishnu RT, Manita R (2008). HBsAg Serosurveillance among Nepalese blood donors. Ann. Trop. Med. Public Health 1(1):15-18.
- Tessema B, Yismaw G, Kassu A, Amsalu A, Mulu A, Emmrich F, Sack U (2010). Seroprevalence of HIV, HBV, HCV and Syphilis infections among blood donors at Gondar University Teaching Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia: declining trends over a period a five years. BMC Infect. Dis. 10:111-117.

ISSN 2006-9723 ©2012 Academic Journals

Full Length Research paper

Physicians' attitude about euthanasia and assisted suicide

Karami Khodabakhsh, Cheraghi Maria* and Karami Nasibeh

Department of Public Health, Ahwaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahwaz, Iran.

Accepted 5 May, 2012

This study was aimed to assess the physicians' attitude on euthanasia and assisted suicide. This study was a cross-sectional study on 55 specialist doctors (Male and Female) about euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide (PAS) who worked in different hospital in Ahwaz city 2010. We explained the terms of euthanasia and PAS individually and required their attitudes and practices. All data were entered in SPSS (version 11) and analyzed by descriptive statistics. Fifty-four (54) out of them (98%) believed that euthanasia and PAS were a violation of human dignity, that they would not be willing to provide these acts. All the 55 specialists agreed that euthanasia and assisted suicide were never ethically justified and stated that they were influenced by religious believes. Only 4 of them (7%) supported withdrawing life-sustaining interventions in cases of persistent vegetative state and by wishes requested of the family. This study has shown that 98% of specialist doctors believed euthanasia and PAS should not be legalized in any cases or situations. All off them believed that euthanasia and assisted suicide are never ethically justified, and mentioned that they were influenced by religious lives.

Key words: Physicians, euthanasia, assisted suicide, Ahwaz.

INTRODUCTION

Euthanasia comes from the Greek words, 'Eu' meaning 'good' and 'Thanatos' meaning 'death' (Hunt, 1995; Sugerman, 2000). Euthanasia meant an "easy death" a sudden death, through accident or in a battle (Giles, 1983); the term of euthanasia was not directly related to health professionals. In 17th century, Francis Bacon extended his belief that science should help relieve man's estate by arguing that the physician's duty was not to only restore the health, but to mitigate pain and dolor; and not only when such mitigation may conduct to recovery, but when it may serve a fair and easy passage (Ezekie, 1994). Classically, euthanasia was defined as the hastening of death of a patient to prevent further sufferings. There are several terms used to describe different forms of euthanasia, namely voluntary (the patient has expressed a wish to die and someone performs the act of euthanasia to let him die), involuntary (the patient is competent to express to make a decision, but has not been consulted, and his life is ended by an

act of euthanasia), non-voluntary euthanasia (means that the euthanasia is performed when a patient is not competent to make a decision), active (refers to euthanasia as a result of someone performing an act such as injection of a lethal drug) and passive euthanasia (means euthanasia resulting from the omission of an act) (Hunt, 1995; Backer et al., 1994). So, euthanasia is a direct intentional killing of a person as part of the medical care being offered (Karami, 2002). Debates about the ethics of euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide (PAS) (the physician will prescribe a lethal drug which is administrated by the patient himself) were from ancient Greece and Rome. After the development of either, physicians began advocating the use of anesthetics to intentionally end a patient's life (Ezekiel and Emanuel 1994; The Medical Council of Hong Kong, 2009). The Voluntary Euthanasia Society, also known as Exit, was founded in 1935 in the UK. It was the first publicly acknowledged euthanasia society in the world and was organized to campaign for the legalization of euthanasia (Hunt, 1995). During the past decade, the debate about legalizing euthanasia has grown in many developed countries (Peretti-Watel et al., 2003). Netherlands

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: mariacheraghi@gmail.com.

legalized euthanasia was in April 1st, 2002 (Janssen, 2002). "Euthanasia" means "the administration of lethal drugs with the explicit intention of shortening the patient's life at the patient's explicit request", this is the definition that is used in the Belgian and Dutch euthanasia laws (De Beer et al., 2004). Some define the euthanasia as 'the good death', but this name has not increased the attitude towards the practice and in the most parts of the world, euthanasia is considered illegal (Chao et al., 2002). Euthanasia is a debatable issue and the practices of euthanasia and PAS remain controversial (Ezekiel and Emanuel, 1994). Physicians' attitudes toward the practices of euthanasia and PAS are very important. It is not just a medical ethical problem; it also has philosophical, legal, religious and political dimensions (Chao et al., 2002), and the opinions on euthanasia are related to cultural differences, so comparative studies are needed for this matter (Peretti-Watel et al., 2003). The aim of this study was to evaluate physicians' attitude about euthanasia and assisted suicide in Ahwaz city.

METHODS

It was a cross-sectional study on 55 specialist doctors (20 female and 35 male) about their attitude of euthanasia and PAS in Ahwaz, the capital city which is one of the oldest cities in the southwest in Iran, 2010. All subjects were as a faculty member, with a minimum 10 years experience as a specialist and they are working in 3 hospitals which were under government and role of Ahwaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences (AJUMS). Out of 55 specialist doctors, 21 of them were internists, 9 cardiologist, 7 anesthetists and 18 neurologist and neurosurgeon. These specialists were more concerned and engaged to terminally ill patients, brain death, persistent vegetative state and end-of-life situation. The researcher has explained the aimed of the study and described the terms of euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide. After that, they were individually asked the questions related to their attitudes and practices to euthanasia and PAS by researcher.

RESULTS

The researcher has asked the doctors "If a patient with unbearable suffering or incurable disease ask you to hasten his/her death, will you consider practicing euthanasia (by injection a lethal drug) or assisted suicide (by prescribe a lethal drug)? All of 55 specialists strongly mentioned that it would not be their will to perform the act. Second question was doctors' attitude about euthanasia and PAS in Iran should be legalized similar to that existing in some countries? 54 of subjects (98%) have believed that euthanasia and PAS should not be legalized in any cases or situations, and stated that they would not be willing to perform themselves even in cases of incurable and unbearable suffering. Only one internist believed that euthanasia should be legalized in some cases including incurable and unbearable diseases and some conditions like terminally ill patient and persistent vegetative state. He said he would be willing to perform euthanasia if it were legal but only in mentioned

conditions. We asked the doctors what is their reasons for not performing euthanasia and assisted suicide. 54 (98%) of them believed that euthanasia and PAS is not ethically justified. 54 of the doctors were opposed to the practices and believed it to be a violation of human dignity and also, they believed sufficient safeguards were not possible for euthanasia practice. Those who disagreed with euthanasia and PAS mentioned that this motivation might lead to loss of patients' confidence in physician. 98% of specialist doctors in Ahwaz did not accept the commonly given justifications for euthanasia and PAS including unbearable suffering, unworthy dying, burdens from the relatives and being tired of life, were not right of the terminally ill patient to die with dignity. In question 6, we asked the most powerful reason of respondents who agreed that euthanasia and assisted suicide are never ethically justified, but they all stated that their views mostly were influenced by religious believes. We asked the physicians their opinion to this idea that "in case of terminally ill patient and persistent vegetative state, the physician should withdraw (removal or stopping life- sustaining interventions) or with hold (to refuse to give life- sustaining interventions) in the course of treatment, knowing the treatment might prolong the patient's life". 51 doctors (about 93%) of physicians stated that it is not ethically justified and they are never willing to perform themselves. Four of respondents (7%) support withdrawing life-sustaining interventions only in cases of persistent vegetative state and by wishes of the family. We required the physicians opinion related to this idea that "in cases of incurable, fatal and agonizing disease or condition, pain and wish of the victim for a deadly drug to end his life by physicians, this practice not only morally right, but an act of humanity". All of the respondents held that because of the cause of disease, patients may die naturally, so, patients' right to die does not mean the physicians' right to kill. In the last question, we asked the physicians if they are familiar with Hospice and Palliative care or pain management centers". They mentioned that there was no such center in Ahwaz and were not familiar with such practices and programs.

DISCUSSION

The study show that Ahwaz physicians were opposed to the practice of euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide, even though in some cases some physicians agree with the practices, due to the following reasons including unbearable suffering, burdens from the relatives, being tired of life, unworthy dying, the patient has a right to die, the patient can die with dignity, incurable, fatal and agonizing disease or condition. They believed that medical interventions are aimed to improving both the quality and the length of life not interfering with dying, as it has been confirmed by the other studies (Ezekiel and Emanuel, 1994; Bandman and Bandman, 1990). They are also the strongest opponents of euthanasia and

assisted suicide for terminally ill patients. A study has shown that 54% of physicians thought euthanasia should be legal in some situations, but only 33% stated that they would be willing to perform euthanasia. 53% thought assisted suicide should be legal in some situations, but only 40% mentioned that they would be willing to assist a patient in committing suicide (Cohen, 1994). However, this study shows that 98% of respondents believed euthanasia and PAS should not be legalized in any cases or situations, and stated that they would not be willing to perform the act themselves. They believed that sufficient safeguards were not possible for euthanasia practice. This idea is confirmed by previous studies that show after a short duration of legalization, the jurists voted to amend the euthanasia and physician assisted suicide as a crime for doctors (Angell, 1999; Chin et al., 1999). This Slippery- Slope Fallacy phenomenon is the most important argument against legalization of euthanasia, where some uncontrollable set of events with unwanted consequences may follow (Bandman and Bandman, 1990; Annas, 1993). A study carried out by Bascom and Tolle (2002) showed that although about 10% of patients seriously consider PAS, only 1% of dying patients specifically request for it, and 1 out of the 10 actually receive and take a lethal prescription. Therefore, patients might also have changed their minds due to some other reasons including when their depression or problems are treated (Breitbart et al., 2000; Emanuel et al., 2000).

As the previous studies show that the physicians who had the most exposure to terminally ill patients were also the strongest opponents of euthanasia and assisted suicide (Bendiane et al., 2009), all the 55 specialist doctors including internists, cardiologist, anesthetists, neurologist and neurosurgeon who participated in this study were of euthanasia and assisted suicide. This study shows that all of the respondents disagreed with the practice of euthanasia, and PAS stated this because it might lead to loss of patients, and the medical profession. They believe that medical interventions were aimed to improve on both the quality and the length of life not interfering with dying, as the other studies (Ezekiel and Emanuel, 1994; Bandman and Bandman, 1990) also confirm this opinion. In the previous studies, Emanuel et al. (2000) had shown that some physician had reported performing euthanasia and assisted suicide during their career, but all of the physicians participated in this study indicated that they themselves had not performed the euthanasia or PAS and would not be willing to provide these practices. None of the respondents were familiar or trained in Hospice or palliative care. Improving professional knowledge of palliative care would improve the management of end-of-life situations, but it could also help to clarify the debate over euthanasia (Bandman and Bandman, 1990; Davis, 2000). The unbearable suffering may be due to inadequate palliative care support and/or inadequate pain relief. Hospice and palliative care is a constructive frame of mind to prevent the euthanasia and physician assisted suicide. Hospice care of the dying

freedom from pain and to helping the patient achieve a "good" death and may also involve the family, community, and hospital staff in providing care at home and in the (Bandman and Bandman, 1990; hospital if needed Przygoda et al., 1998). The previous studies mentioned that over half of the doctors interviewed had withdrawn life sustaining treatments (Van et al., 1991), while only 7% of respondents participated in this study support withdrawing life-sustaining interventions in cases of persistent vegetative state and by wishes of the family. It seems that the ideology of physicians could affects their attitude and function, as the all respondents who participate in this study agreed that euthanasia and assisted suicide were never ethically justified and mentioned that it was influenced by religious beliefs. Therefore, this study confirms with other studies (Peretti-Watel, 2003; de Wachter, 1989; Karami, 1998) that euthanasia and PAS is not just a medical ethical problem; it also has cultural, philosophical, legal, religious and political dimensions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank all physicians who participated in this study and cooperate in a friendly manner.

REFERENCES

- Angell M (1999). Caring for the dying-congressional mischief. N Engl. J. Med. 341:1923-1925.
- Annas GJ (1993). Physician-assisted suicide--Michigan's temporary solution. N. Engl. J. Med. 328:1573-1576.
- Bandman EL, Bandman B (1990). Nursing Ethics Through Life Span. 2nd edition. London: Appleton and Lange Publishing, 1990:247-249
- Bascom PB, Tolle SW (2000). Responding to Requests for Physician-Assisted Suicide. JAMA. 2002, 288:91-98.
- Backer AC, Hannon NR, Russell NA (1994). Ethical issues. In Death and Dying: Understanding and Care. New York: Delmar Publishers Inc., pp. 203-222.
- Bendiane MK, Bouhnik AD, Galinier A, Favre R, Obadia Y, Peretti-Watel P (2009). French hospital nurses' opinion about euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide: a national phone survey J. Medical Ethics 35:238-244.
- Breitbart W, Rosenfeld B, Pessin H (2000). Depression, hopelessness, and desire for hastened death in terminally ill patients with cancer. J. Am. Med. Assoc. 284:2907–2911.
- Chao DVK, Chan NY, Chan WY (2002). Euthanasia revisited. Family Practice 19(2):128-134.
- Chin AE, Hedberg K, Higginson GK, Fleming DW (1999). Legalized physician-assisted suicide in Oregon—the first year's experience. N Engl. J. Med. 340:577-583.
- Cohen JS, Fihn SD, Boyko EJ, Jonsen AR, Wood RW (1994). Attitudes toward Assisted Suicide and Euthanasia among Physicians in Washington State. N Engl. J. Med. 331(2):89-94.
- Davis BD (2000). Caring for people in pain. London: Routledge Publisher, pp. 20-21.
- De Beer T, Gastmans C, Dierckx de Casterlé B (2004). Involvement of nurses in euthanasia: a review of the literature. J. Med. Ethics 30:494-498.
- de Wachter MAM (1989). Active euthanasia in the Netherlands. J. Am. Med. Assoc., 262:3316-3319.
- Emanuel EJ, Fairclough D, Clarridge BC, Blum D, Bruera E, Penley WC, Schnipper LE, Mayer RJ (2000). Attitudes and Practices of U.S. Oncologists regarding Euthanasia and Physician-Assisted Suicide.

- Ann. Int. Med. 133(7):527-532.
- Ezekiel J Emanuel (1994). The History of Euthanasia Debates in the United States and Britain, Ann. Int. Med. 121(10):793-802.
- Giles JE (1983). Medical Ethics. Rochester: Schenkman Books, INC., pp. 106-110.
- Hunt T (1995). Ethical issues. In Penson J. Fisher R Palliative Care for People with Cancer. London: Arnold, pp. 11-22.
- Janssen A (2002). The new regulation of voluntary euthanasia and medically assisted suicide in the netherland. Int. J. Law Policy Fam.
- Karami K (2002). Euthanasia the easy and good death? Iran, Tehran,
- Moaref Publisher, pp. 17-36. Karami K (1998). Research Ethics, research on human. J. Med. Pur. 30:99-101.
- Przygoda P, Saimovici J, Poll J, Figar S (1998). Physician assisted suicide, euthanasia, and withdrawal of treatment. BMJ, 316:371.

- Peretti-Watel P, Bendiane MK, Pegliasco H, Lapiana J M, Favre R, Galinier A, Moatti J P (2003). Doctors' opinions on euthanasia, end of life care, and doctor-patient communication: telephone survey in France. BMJ, 327:595-596.
- Sugerman J (2000). Ethics in primary care. Newyork: Mc Graw-Hill. The Medical Council of Hong Kong (2009). Code of Professional Conduct for the Guidance of Registered Medical Practitioners; care for the terminally ill patient.
- Van der Maas PJ, van Delden JJM, Pijnenborg L, Looman CWN (1991). Euthanasia and other medical decisions concerning the end of life. Lancet 338:669-674.

UPCOMING CONFERENCES

18th International Integrative Medicine Conference Friday 31 August - Sunday 2 September 2012 Hilton On The Park, Melboune



Recent Advances in Nuclear Medicine, Vinnitsa, Ukraine, 18-19 Sep 2012



Conferences and Advert

August 2012

MIPS XIV — Medical Image Perception Society XIV Conference, Dublin, Ireland, 9 Aug 2012

September 2012

Recent Advances in Nuclear Medicine, Vinnitsa, Ukraine, 18 Sep 2012

Preventive Medicine, Barcelona, Spain, 20 Sep 2012

Processing and Managing Medical Data Streams, Rome, Italy, 20 Jun 2012

14th International Conference on Emergency Medicine (ICEM), Dublin, UK, 27 Jun 2012



Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- Journal of Medicinal Plant Research
- African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology
- Journal of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene
- International Journal of Nursing and Midwifery
- Journal of Parasitology and Vector Biology
- Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy
- Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences

academicJournals